



Arbor Analytics

CONSULTING ARBORISTS / MELBOURNE

ILLUSTRATIVE REPORT SAMPLE

Preliminary tree assessments

Example Design Site C

Early arboricultural design advice



PREPARED FOR

Example Property Owner

REFERENCE

DEMO-PRE-01

DATE

06 September 2026

Invented site, measurements and findings. No inspection took place.

FICTIONAL SAMPLE / NOT FOR RELIANCE / NOT A PERMIT OR WORK INSTRUCTION

1. Brief and site record

A fictional owner is considering a rear extension but has not settled on a layout. The example records early constraints, not a determination that a particular building footprint is acceptable.

RECORD	DEMONSTRATION DATA
Project stage	Feasibility / concept only
T1	Mature gum in rear garden / height 13 m / crown spread 9 m
T2	Neighbouring tree near boundary / identity and dimensions unverified
Documents	Illustrative site sketch only; no measured survey
Scope	Identify information and tree constraints for the next design stage

Information and scope

The site name, tree details, dimensions, drawing references and observations are invented for this example. No real property, customer, survey or council file has been used.

In a commissioned report, inspection photographs and location records would link the tree identifiers to the actual site. Required plans, measurements and supporting evidence would depend on the brief and applicable requirements.

This abbreviated sample omits a complete tree schedule, measured site plan and photographic appendix. It demonstrates the reporting approach, not a complete submission package.

2. Constraints

The following discussion uses the invented scenario on page 2. It shows how a report separates observations, interpretation and information still required.

T1 retention consideration

The example treats T1 as an established canopy tree that should be considered early in the layout. Its protection requirements need to be related to a verified survey.

Boundary information

T2 may influence the available building area. Access limitations and missing measurements prevent a complete tree record at this stage.

Design uncertainty

No final footprint, levels, footing design or service routes are provided. The example therefore does not calculate encroachment or conclude that works are acceptable.

Basis of the assessment

A real report identifies the inspection scope and the information on which it relies. Where relevant, it records document versions, access restrictions, the assessment method and any need for further investigation.

No quantitative risk score, surveyed root-zone dimension or standards-compliance finding is claimed in this demonstration.

3. Recommendations and next steps

01. Survey and tree information

Obtain a suitable site survey and resolve the missing information for relevant boundary trees.

02. Concept development

Use the arboricultural constraints alongside planning and design requirements when developing layout options.

03. Next report stage

Assess the preferred plans in a tree impact report once sufficient detail is available.

4. Conclusion

The preliminary output directs the next design decisions and information gathering. It deliberately stops short of approving a concept or presenting unverified root-zone dimensions as a completed plan.

Use of this sample

This document is illustrative only. Do not use it to decide, approve or instruct work on any tree. A commissioned report must be based on the actual site, agreed scope and verified evidence. No inspection, certification or council determination is represented.