



Arbor Analytics

CONSULTING ARBORISTS / MELBOURNE

ILLUSTRATIVE REPORT SAMPLE

Tree removal permit reports

Example Garden A

Assessment of a proposed tree removal



PREPARED FOR

Example Property Owner

REFERENCE

DEMO-REM-01

DATE

06 September 2026

Invented site, measurements and findings. No inspection took place.

FICTIONAL SAMPLE / NOT FOR RELIANCE / NOT A PERMIT OR WORK INSTRUCTION

1. Brief and site record

The fictional owner is seeking an assessment of one tree described as dead, before making a removal application. The sample considers whether the invented evidence supports removal; it is not an approval.

RECORD	DEMONSTRATION DATA
Tree	T1 / Eucalyptus species (demonstration identification)
Dimensions	Height 11 m / crown spread 6 m / trunk diameter 44 cm
Health	Dead in this invented scenario
Setting	Rear garden, beside a regularly used path
Purpose	Assess the arboricultural basis for proposed removal

Information and scope

The site name, tree details, dimensions, drawing references and observations are invented for this example. No real property, customer, survey or council file has been used.

In a commissioned report, inspection photographs and location records would link the tree identifiers to the actual site. Required plans, measurements and supporting evidence would depend on the brief and applicable requirements.

This abbreviated sample omits a complete tree schedule, measured site plan and photographic appendix. It demonstrates the reporting approach, not a complete submission package.

2. Assessment

The following discussion uses the invented scenario on page 2. It shows how a report separates observations, interpretation and information still required.

Condition evidence

The invented inspection record describes no live foliage and extensive dead branching. These observations are assumed for this example only; no real tree was inspected.

Use of the surrounding area

A garden path is regularly used beneath part of the crown. This is recorded separately from the tree condition so the recommendation has a clear site context.

Retention alternatives

The example considers leaving the tree standing with access restrictions. In this scenario, that would conflict with the continuing use of the narrow garden path. No numerical risk score is fabricated.

Basis of the assessment

A real report identifies the inspection scope and the information on which it relies. Where relevant, it records document versions, access restrictions, the assessment method and any need for further investigation.

No quantitative risk score, surveyed root-zone dimension or standards-compliance finding is claimed in this demonstration.

3. Recommendations and next steps

01. Recommendation

In this fictional scenario, removal is supported by the assumed dead condition and the surrounding use. The conclusion would need to be supported by verified inspection evidence in a commissioned report.

02. Permission

Include the report with the relevant application where required. Do not treat this sample or an arborist recommendation as permission to remove a tree.

03. After the decision

Any authorised work, contractor arrangements and replacement planting requirements would be addressed separately.

4. Conclusion

The example links a clear condition record to a reasoned recommendation. It does not assume that every dead tree can be removed without permission or that council will accept an application.

Use of this sample

This document is illustrative only. Do not use it to decide, approve or instruct work on any tree. A commissioned report must be based on the actual site, agreed scope and verified evidence. No inspection, certification or council determination is represented.