



Arbor Analytics

CONSULTING ARBORISTS / MELBOURNE

ILLUSTRATIVE REPORT SAMPLE

Tree Protection Specifications and Plans

Example Construction Site E

Protection planning for one retained tree



PREPARED FOR

Example Property Owner

REFERENCE

DEMO-TPM-01

DATE

06 September 2026

Invented site, measurements and findings. No inspection took place.

FICTIONAL SAMPLE / NOT FOR RELIANCE / NOT A PERMIT OR WORK INSTRUCTION

1. Brief and site record

A fictional project retains T1 during construction. This abbreviated example demonstrates a control schedule and checkpoint record, not an approved site protection plan.

RECORD	DEMONSTRATION DATA
Retained tree	T1 / mature canopy tree in rear garden
Project	Residential construction (invented)
Reference documents	DEMO-IMP-02 and DEMO-PLAN-C (invented)
Site activities	Establishment, construction access and landscaping
Plan status	Illustrative only; no surveyed fence or protection-zone dimensions

Information and scope

The site name, tree details, dimensions, drawing references and observations are invented for this example. No real property, customer, survey or council file has been used.

In a commissioned report, inspection photographs and location records would link the tree identifiers to the actual site. Required plans, measurements and supporting evidence would depend on the brief and applicable requirements.

This abbreviated sample omits a complete tree schedule, measured site plan and photographic appendix. It demonstrates the reporting approach, not a complete submission package.

2. Site controls

The following discussion uses the invented scenario on page 2. It shows how a report separates observations, interpretation and information still required.

Before site establishment

The real plan would identify the protection arrangement, installation timing and required verification before conflicting site activities commence.

During construction

Access, storage and ground-disturbance restrictions need to be coordinated. Any work proposed within a protected area requires review against the applicable plan and agreed supervision requirements.

Changes and communication

A change to the layout or work method should be raised before it is carried out. The example records the need for a documented decision rather than an informal alteration on site.

Basis of the assessment

A real report identifies the inspection scope and the information on which it relies. Where relevant, it records document versions, access restrictions, the assessment method and any need for further investigation.

No quantitative risk score, surveyed root-zone dimension or standards-compliance finding is claimed in this demonstration.

3. Recommendations and next steps

01. Pre-start checkpoint

Confirm the relevant protection controls are installed and the responsible site personnel understand the requirements. Record the inspection where it is part of the agreed scope.

02. Work-stage checkpoint

Arrange project arborist attendance at the stages specified in the actual plan or permit. Keep the relevant attendance and observation records.

03. Completion checkpoint

Review the retained tree and protection requirements at completion where specified. Do not assume a completion certificate is included without an agreed scope.

4. Conclusion

This sample demonstrates how a protection plan connects measures, responsibilities and timing. A real TPS / TPP needs verified tree data, site-specific plans and the actual permit requirements.

Use of this sample

This document is illustrative only. Do not use it to decide, approve or instruct work on any tree. A commissioned report must be based on the actual site, agreed scope and verified evidence. No inspection, certification or council determination is represented.